Learning to Live with the Trickster: Resilience Theory and Environmental Law in the Anthropocene

Robin Kundis Craig

James I. Farr Presidential Endowed Professor of Law Acting Director, Wallace Stegner Center for Land, Resources, & Environment Affiliated Faculty, Global Change & Sustainability Center University of Utah S.J. Quinney College of Law

Thomas C. Sorensen Policy Seminar Series University of Nebraska

April 13, 2017

Friday, August 26, 2016

EXPANSION OF MARINE PROTECTED AREAS

President Barack Obama is expanding the Papahanaumokuakea Marine National Monument from 50 to 200 nautical miles would further restrict commercial fishing in the area.



Beginning Caveat #1

- The "End of Sustainability" project is most directly a response to continued dependence on ecological stationarity in U.S. natural resources law despite climate change.
- Most U.S. federal natural resources & environmental statutes came into existence in the 1970s and incorporated the steadystate "Balance of Nature" view prominent in biology at the time.

Beginning Caveats #2 and #3

- We are not using "resilience" in a general sense but rather are focusing on the specific concept of ecological resilience within the specific discipline of resilience theory.
- Part of how you react to the presentation will depend on how much change you believe climate change will bring, but we are convinced that humans are already encountering the limits of continued growth and consumption and that things will get worse.

The Basic Issue

Are "sustainability" and "sustainable development" the correct cultural narratives and legal frameworks for natural resources management in a world of increasing, continual, complex, unprecedented, and unpredictable changes to those resources changes that are likely to limit human choices into the future?



THE EXAMPLE OF FISHERIES MANAGEMENT

Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation & Management Act

- Section 301(a)(1): "Conservation and management measures shall prevent overfishing while achieving, on a continuing basis, the <u>optimum</u> <u>yield</u> from each fishery for the United States fishing industry."
- Section 3(33): (33) "The term 'optimum', with respect to the yield from a fishery, means the amount of fish which—
 - "(A) will provide the greatest overall benefit to the Nation, particularly with respect to food production and recreational opportunities, and taking into account the protection of marine ecosystems;
 - "(B) is prescribed as such on the basis of the maximum sustainable yield from the fishery, as reduced by any relevant economic, social, or ecological factor; and
 - "(C) in the case of an overfished fishery, provides for rebuilding to a level consistent with producing the maximum sustainable yield in such fishery."
- Section 3(34): "The terms 'overfishing' and 'overfished' mean a rate or level of fishing mortality that jeopardizes the capacity of a fishery to produce the maximum sustainable yield on a continuing basis."

What does all that mean in the Anthropocene?

Two Big Problems with MSY-Based Fisheries Management

- Assumes fish not needed for reproducing the replacement stock are "surplus"—although those fish are in fact probably doing something important in food webs and ecosystems.
- Assumes that long-term ecological conditions in the ocean are stable and they're NOT.

The theory is (relatively) simple





ALTERED ECOSYSTEM STRUCTURE AND FUNCTION

Source: Adapted from Pauly et al., 1998; Goñi, 2000.

e Calculations based on Pauly, D. 2006. Major trends in small-scale marine fisheries, with emphasis on developing countries, and some implications for the social sciences. Maritime Studies (MAST), 4 (2)

Art: John Michael Yanson

Pre-Existing Impacts: Habitat Destruction

UNTRAWLED

TRAWLED



@NOAA/Oceana



@NOAA/Oceana

Climate Change and Fisheries: Increasing Temperatures



Plus, Ocean Acidification

OCEAN ACIDIFICATION



Ocean Acidifications Effects: Pteropods (Sea Butterflies)





Graphic courtesy of David Littschwager, National Geographic Society





What Ocean Acidification Does Over Time



Graphic courtesy of the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute

Jamaica **Florida Keys** is on the B Reefs 2010 2025 2050 2100 Hawaii **U.S.** Coral Percent coral cover 38% Percent coral cover 36% Percent coral cover 5% Percent coral cover <1% **Reef Losses** under Unmitigated South Climate Florida Graphic courtesy of the Percent coral cover 12% Percent coral cover 3% Percent coral cover <1% Percent coral cover <1% U.S. EPA Puerto Rico Percent coral cover 25% Percent coral cover 6% Percent coral cover 1% Percent coral cover 1% >+3°C +2°C

2010

2010

Implications for Sustainable Development: Coral Reefs

SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC VULNERABILITY OF COUNTRIES AND TERRITORIES TO REEF LOSS



Notes: Adaptive capacity is based on economic resources, education, health, governance, access to markets, and agricultural resources. Eighty-one countries, 21 Island territories, and six subnational regions (Florida, Hawaii, Hong Kong SAR, Peninsular Malaysia, Sabah, and Sarawak) were assessed, and are categorized according to quartiles.







A major study in the journal Science predicts global collapse of the world's major fisheries by 2053

CURRENT NARRATIVES OF OUR RELATIONSHIP TO ECOSYSTEMS

"Humans As Controlling Engineers": WWII & After



Newtown Creek Wastewater Treatment Facility Photograph courtesy of the City of New York

> range County, CA Wastewater Treatment & Reclamation Facility



Carbon Sequestration Options



0 ve nowlated ater is vd to n

10011 907

Sustainable Development and Its Current U.S. Narratives



And the narratives that the U.S. has developed so far for climate change aren't helping . . .

NARRATIVE # Climate Change Isn't



the second



NARRATIVE #3: Technology Will Save Us

NARRATIVE #4: It's the End of the World as We Know It



Blendir Apoc LAST MYTH

WHAT THE RISE OF APOCALYPTIC THINKING TELLS US ABOUT AMERICA

MATHEW BARRETT GROSS MEL GILLES

H **ives**



THE D TOM

#4 Variation: *Carpe Diem* Party Like It's 1999

HOTODOS "If Antarctic Melting Has Passed The Point Of No Return We Should Do Less About Climate Change, Not More"

Image courtesy of NASA

BETTER CULTURAL AND LEGAL NARRATIVES FOR THE ANTHROPOCENE

#1: The Trickster



U.S. Selected Significant Climate Anomalies and Events

for 2015



For AK, 2015 tied 2002 as the 2*d warmest year on record. Only 2014 was warmer in AK.





MT, OR, and WA had their warmest year on record. Limited winter snowpack contributed to summer drought and a record-breaking wildfire season.

The CONUS had the 2nd warmest and 3rd wettest year on record. Every state was warmer than average.



Drought plagued CA. Lack of winter precipitation and nearrecord warmth contributed to low reservoirs, wildfires, and agricultural losses.



Late-December tornadoes, severe storms, and record flooding caused over 50 fatalities across the South, the deadliest weather event of 2015.



OK and TX had their wettest year on record, ending a multi-year drought. The remnants of East Pacific tropical cyclones caused widespread flooding.

At the beginning of 2015, 28.7% of the CONUS was in drought. The drought footprint peaked in April at 36.9% of the CONUS, but shrank to 18.7% by the end of the year.

Late winter/early spring was record cold for parts of the Northeast and





Moisture associated with Hurricane Joaquin interacted with an upper level low to bring record rainfall to the Carolinas in early October.



FL had its warmest year on record with a temperature 3.3°F above average.



The North Atlantic had 11 named storms, 4 hurricanes, and 2 major hurricanes-a slightly below average season.



Record summer/autumn precipitation in HI, accompanied by record warmth, ended a multiyear drought.



Year

#3: TI Bound

Planetary Boundaries

A safe operating space for humanity



Descherten geminer Globerte Clim E-08(1) [C)SCITE Þ Atmcspheric milan. 100 ries **Guiding human** development on a changing planet an puer li equerc

> Source: Steffen et al. Planetary Boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet, Science, 16 January 2015. Design: Globala

#4: A Better Framework for Law: RESILIENCE THEORY



Acknowledging Different Outcomes from Changes

Figure 1 - Concept of resilience



Acknowledging that Transformations are Possible

system dynamics, never at rest:

the ball in the basin, the 'stability landscape'



the ball = the current state of the system
a basin = set of states with same functions and feedback (regime); acts as a basin of attraction: the system (= ball) moves to bottom (= equilibrium state, = attractor) but also moves elsewhere because of inner dynamics; multiple regimes
shape of basin is constantly changing due to changing (external) conditions; therefore also position of ball changes: the system is never in 'stable-perfect' equilibrium

 dotted line = a threshold, after crossing this tipping point, the system tends towards a different equilibrium (because of a change in feedbacks that drive the system's dynamics)





Why We Think Resilience Thinking Is an Improvement

- Like the trickster, resilience thinking acknowledges that sudden and unpredictable change is a reality of life (ecosystems and socio-ecological systems).
- Unlike sustainability and sustainable development goals as legally implemented in the U.S., resilience thinking provides a system that acknowledges that what is possible today in terms of pursuing human goals may not be possible tomorrow.
- HOWEVER, resilience itself is a property, not a normative goal. Thus, law and policy still have to supply the normative goals we seek to achieve in a constantly changing world with the constant threat of diminishing resources and ecosystem services.

The First Normative Question for Resilience Thinking Fisheries Law: The Resilience OF What TO What and FOR WHOM?

One Answer: The law should promote the resilience of changing marine ecosystems to climate change and ocean acidification for the benefit of future generations.

More Specifically:

Panarchy counsels that some of the anthropogenic impacts on fish and marine ecosystems are operating at scales beyond effective immediate human intervention, even though control of greenhouse gas emissions is critical:

- Climate Change: Carbon dioxide concentrations are governed by processes that operate on a century-long scale
- Ocean Acidification: Operates within planetary carbon cycles on a millennium scale

Technological and political realities make other stressors equally difficult to address:

- Ocean plastic pollution
- Legacy toxic pollution
- Existing coastal development, with sea-level rise complications
- The two stressors for which regulation for shorter-term improvements is possible:
 - Land-based marine pollution
 - FISHERIES

Protect the Last, Best Places



More Generally, Protect the Emerging Ocean "Sweet Spots"

Temperature change by 2099





Carbonate levels predicted to drop as ocean acidifies



Exposed shells and skeletons likely to dissolve

Seriously Consider Phasing Out Industrial Marine



Fisheries

- As with the Paphanamokuakea Marine National Monument, the choice is often framed as fisheries vs. ecosystems.
- In contrast, a resilience theory view of the trickster Anthropocene suggests that we should be viewing our choice in terms of the uncertainty of the ocean's future, where fishing as usual poses a substantial risk of collapsing marine ecosystems for the future (and hence eliminating or severely reducing fishing regardless).
- We can empower ourselves to give changing marine ecosystems their best chance for the future by phasing out commercial ocean fisheries now and transitioning to the more benign forms of marine aquaculture.

Implications for Sustainable Development

The "sustainable" development narrative also has to come to grips with a changing planet and ecological limits.

The planetary boundaries concept could re-frame sustainable development into a viable Anthropocene narrative.

However, the environment must become the hard limit of development, not an asset that can be traded for economic growth or social improvement.



The Empowerment



- Resilience theory warns us that undesirable transformations are possible, and in some cases, maybe, inevitable.
- HOWEVER, resilience theory also teaches us that we can work to avoid the social-ecological transformations we REALLY don't want.
- MOVEOVER, resilience theory also counsels that we can work to guide the transformations we cannot avoid to end up with productive—if different ecosystems and SESs.
- In other words, we can, in fact, cope with the trickster.

And One Last Point . .

Resilience theory also counsels us that if we don't get serious about mitigation, the climate change trickster will play a **bigger and bigger role** in our lives, in ways that will make us increasingly uncomfortable.



Trickster Print by Bill Lewis

THANK YOU!

THE END OF SUSTAINABILITY



RESILIENCE AND THE FUTURE OF ENVIRONMENTAL GOVERNANCE IN THE ANTHROPOCENE

Melinda Harm Benson & Robin Kundis Craig